

Philosophy 151: Definitions from *van Dalen*

Lucas Garron

March 9, 2011

Trying to make sense of a “slightly” inconsistent book about logic.

Chapter 1. Propositional Logic

PROP (7) smallest set X with: (i) $p_i \in X$
– $\perp \in X$
(ii) $(\varphi \Box \psi) \in X$ $\{\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$
(iii) $(\neg \varphi)$

Typical element name: p

rank (12) Recursively defined “depth” of a proposition.

valuation (18) A mapping $v : PROP \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, defined recursively on $PROP$. $[[\varphi \wedge \psi]] = v(\varphi \wedge \psi) = \min(v(\varphi), v(\psi))$, etc.

$\models \varphi$ **(19, propositional)** φ is a tautology (true for all valuations)

$\Gamma \models \varphi$ $[[\psi]]_v = 1$ or all $\varphi \in \Gamma \Leftrightarrow [[\varphi]]_v = 1$

$\Gamma \vdash \varphi$ **(36)** There is a derivation with conclusion φ and all hypotheses in Γ

$\vdash \varphi$ **(36)** $\Gamma = \emptyset$. φ is a theorem.

Consistency Γ (set of propositions) is consistent if $\Gamma \not\vdash \perp$.

Maximal Consistency Γ consistent such that $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$ and Γ' consistent $\Rightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma'$.

Chapter 2. Predicate Logic

Structure (58) An ordered sequence $\langle A, R_1, \dots, R_n, F_1, \dots, F_m, \{c_i | i \in I\} \rangle$, where the relations and functions are on A , and the constants are elements of A .

Typical element name: \mathfrak{A}

Similarity Type (of a Structure) (59) A sequence $\langle r_1, \dots, r_n; a_1, \dots, a_n; \kappa \rangle$ where r_i and a_i are arities (number of arguments / number of arguments without output value) of R_i and F_i , and κ is the number of constants.

Typical name: none.

Language A set of expressions(?) (sentences?) built up of a set of symbols with amounts corresponding to a similarity type.
(n.b. \doteq is always a relation: identity/equality.)

Typical name: L

Universe of a Structure $|\mathfrak{A}| = A$ as in the definition.

TERM (61) smallest set X such that:

- (i) constants $\bar{c}_i, i \in I$, variables $x_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$
- (ii) $t_1, \dots, t_{a_i} \in X \Rightarrow f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{a_i}) \in X$

Typical element name: t .

FORM (61) smallest set X with:

- (i) \perp
- - $P_i(\dots)$ (... each in X)
- - $t_1 = t_2 \in X$
- (ii) $(\varphi \square \psi) \in X$ $\{\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$
- (iii) $(\neg \varphi)$
- (iii) $((\forall x_i)\varphi), ((\exists x_i)\varphi)$

Typical element name: φ

Free variables (63-64) The set $FV(t)$ and $FV(\varphi)$ is defined recursively.

Closed / Open / Sentence (64) t (or φ) is closed if $FV(t) = \emptyset$.

A closed formula is a sentence.

A formula without quantifiers is open.

TERM_c (64) Set of closed terms (in a language?).

SENT (64) Set of sentences (in a language?).

Free variable (66) φ free for x in φ , defined recursively using FV .

Extended language (67) $L(\mathfrak{A})$: add constant symbols for all elements of \mathfrak{A} to L . \bar{a} from $a \in |\mathfrak{A}|$

Interpretation/valuation of sentences in $L(\mathfrak{A})$ (69-70) Recursively defined valuation over $FORM(?)$.

$[[\varphi]]_{\mathfrak{A}}$ or $v_{\mathfrak{A}}(\varphi)$ is a mapping $[[\cdot]]_{\mathfrak{A}} : SENT \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, recursively defined.

(Valuation of a term: $(\cdot)^{\mathfrak{A}} : TERM_c \rightarrow |\mathfrak{A}|$)

$\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi$ (70) Defined as $[[\varphi]]_{\mathfrak{A}} = 1$ (\models is the “satisfaction relation”).

(Universal) closure If $FV(\varphi) = z_1, \dots, z_k$, then $Cl(\varphi) := \forall z_1 \dots z_k \varphi$.

Some Semantics (71) (i) $\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models Cl(\varphi)$
(ii) $\models \varphi \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models \varphi \forall \mathfrak{A}$ (of the appropriate type)
(iii) $\mathfrak{A} \models \Gamma \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models \psi \forall \psi \in \Gamma$.
(iv) $\Gamma \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow (\mathfrak{A} \models \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models \varphi)$, where $a \in \Gamma$ and φ are sentences.

Model (71) If $\mathfrak{A} \models \sigma$, then \mathfrak{A} is a model of σ .

Semantic consequence (71) φ is a semantic consequence of Γ if $\Gamma \models \varphi$ (φ holds in each model of Γ .)
($\models \varphi$ means φ is *true*.)

Satisfiability A formula with free variables z_i is satisfiable if there is a set of elements $a_i \in |\mathfrak{A}|$ such that $\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi[\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_k, / \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_k]$ (substitution).

Prenex (normal) form (78) φ with quantifiers followed by an open formula.
(Theorem: For each φ , \exists a prenex formula ψ such that $\models \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$)

Identity Axioms $I_1: \forall x (x = x)$ (reflexive)
 $I_2: \forall xy (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ (symmetric)
 $I_3: \forall xyz (x = y \wedge y = z \rightarrow x = z)$ (transitive)
 $I_4: \text{If the arguments are equal, } t(x_1, \dots, x_n) = t(y_1, \dots, y_n) \text{ and } \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow \varphi(y_1, \dots, y_n)$

Chapter 3. Completeness

Theory (104) A theory T is a collection of sentences such that $T \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \varphi \in T$ (closed under derivability).
Typical name: T .

Axiom Set (104) A set Γ such that $T = \{\varphi | \Gamma \vdash \varphi\}$.

Henkin Theory (104) T is a Henkin theory if for each sentence $\exists x \varphi(x)$ there exists a constant c such that $((\exists x \varphi(x)) \rightarrow \varphi(c)) \in T$. (c is a *witness* for $\exists x \varphi(x)$.)

Extension (of a theory) (104) Theories T and T' with respective languages L, L' :
(i) T is an extension of T' if $T \subseteq T'$
(ii) T' is a *conservative extension* of T if $T' \cup L = T$

L^* : Add c_φ for each φ of the form $\exists x \varphi(x)$
 T^* : $T \cup \{\exists x \varphi(x) | \exists x \varphi(x) \text{ closed, with witness } c_\varphi\}$ (theorem: conservative over T)

Model Existence Lemma (103, 109) A theorem. If L has cardinality κ and Γ is a set of consistent sentences, then Γ has a model of cardinality $\leq \kappa$.

Compactness Theorem (111) Γ has a model \Leftrightarrow each finite $\delta \subset \Gamma$ has a model.

$Mod(\Gamma)$ $Mod(\Gamma) = \{\mathfrak{A} | \mathfrak{A} \models \sigma \text{ for all } \sigma \in \Gamma\}$.

Theory of (\mathcal{K}) If \mathcal{K} is a class of structures with the same similarity type,
 $Th(\mathcal{K}) = \{\sigma \mid \mathfrak{A} \models \sigma \text{ for all } \mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{K}\}$

Reduct / Expansion \mathfrak{A} is a reduct of \mathfrak{B} if $|\mathfrak{A}| = |\mathfrak{B}|$ and R_i, F_j, c_k from \mathfrak{A} are also in \mathfrak{B} . \mathfrak{B} is an expansion of \mathfrak{A} .

Axiomatizability A class \mathcal{K} of structures is (finitely) axiomatizable if there is a (finite) set Γ such that $\mathcal{K} = Mod(\Gamma)$.

Structure Universe Homomorphism (119) (i) $f : |\mathfrak{A}| \rightarrow |\mathfrak{B}|$ is a homomorphism if each P_i, F_j, c_k maps from \mathfrak{A} to \mathfrak{B} if f is mapped over its arguments.
(ii) f is an isomorphism if it's also bijective and predicates can map back.

Isomorphic Structures (119) $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{B}$ if there is an isomorphism $f : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$.

Elementary Equivalence (NOT SYMMETRIC) ($\mathfrak{A} \equiv \mathfrak{B}$) (119) \mathfrak{A} is elementarily equivalent to \mathfrak{B} if for all sentences $\sigma \in L$ (language of \mathfrak{A}), $\mathfrak{A} \models \sigma \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B} \models \sigma$. (Note: $\mathfrak{A} \equiv \mathfrak{B} \Leftrightarrow Th(\mathfrak{A}) = Th(\mathfrak{B})$)

Substructure / Submodel ($\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$) (119) \mathfrak{A} is a substructure/submodel of \mathfrak{B} (same type) if all elements of \mathfrak{B} , "restricted to the universe of \mathfrak{A} ," are in \mathfrak{A} .

Elementary Substructure ($\mathfrak{A} \prec \mathfrak{B}$) (119) \mathfrak{A} is an elementary substructure of \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{B} is an elementary extension of \mathfrak{A}) if $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ and for all $\varphi(\dots) \in L$, $a_i \in |\mathfrak{A}|$, $\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n) \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B} \models \varphi(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$. (\mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} have the same true sentences *with parameters in \mathfrak{A}* .) Note that $\mathfrak{A} \prec \mathfrak{B} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \equiv \mathfrak{B}$.

Complete theory (124) T with axioms $\Gamma \subset L$ is called complete if for each sentence $\sigma \in L$, either $\Gamma \vdash \sigma$ or $\Gamma \vdash \neg\sigma$.

κ -categorical (125) Let κ be a cardinal. T is κ -categorical if it has exactly one model of cardinality κ up to isomorphism.

Model complete (131) T is model complete if $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in Mod(T)$, $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{B} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \prec \mathfrak{B}$.

Prime model T has a prime model if that model is contained in every model of T up to isomorphism.

Chapter 4. Second Order Logic

Second-order alphabet (i) individual variables x_0, \dots
(ii) individual constants c_0, \dots
for each $n \geq 0$:
(iii) n -ary set (predicate) variables X_0^n, X_1^n, \dots
also for each $n \geq 0$:
(iv) n -ary set (predicate) constants $\perp, P_0^n, P_1^n, \dots$
(v) connectives: $\wedge, \rightarrow, \vee, \neg, \leftrightarrow, \exists, \forall$.
(and auxiliary symbols: $()$)
Countable variables of each kind, any number of constants.

Second-order formulas $FORM$ is inductively defined, again:

- (i) $X_i^0, P_i^0, \perp \in FORM$
- (ii) for $n > 0$, $X^n(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in FORM, P^n(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in FORM$
- (iii) $FORM$ is closed under the propositional connectives
- (iv) $FORM$ is closed under first- and second-order quantification.

Second-order structure (144) $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, A^*, c^*, R^* \rangle$, where:

- $A^* = \langle A_n | n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$
- $c^* = \{c_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset A$
- $A = \langle R_i^n | i, n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$, and $A_i \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A^n), R_i^n \in A_n$.

Full structure (144) $A_n = \mathcal{P}(A^n)$; each A_n contains all n -ary relations.

Validity (144) $\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi$ similar to first-order logic.

Comprehension Schema (145) $\exists X^n \forall x_1 \dots x_n [\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leftrightarrow X^n(x_1, \dots, x_n)]$.

Model of second-order logic (147) A second-order structure \mathfrak{A} is a model of second-order logic if the comprehension schema is valid in \mathfrak{A} .

Intuitionistic Logic

Gödel Translation The mapping $^\circ : FORM \rightarrow FORM$:

- (i) $\perp^\circ := \perp, \varphi^\circ := \neg\neg\varphi$.
- (ii) $(\varphi \wedge \psi)^\circ := \varphi^\circ \wedge \psi^\circ$
- (iii) $(\varphi \vee \psi)^\circ := \neg(\neg\varphi^\circ \wedge \neg\psi^\circ)$
- (iv) $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)^\circ := \varphi^\circ \rightarrow \psi^\circ$
- (v) $(\forall x \varphi(x))^\circ := \forall x \varphi^\circ(x)$
- (vi) $(\exists x \varphi(x))^\circ := \neg\forall x \neg\varphi^\circ(x)$

(Theorem: $\Gamma \vdash_c \varphi \Leftrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_i \varphi^\circ$)

Kripke Model $\mathcal{K} = \langle K, \Sigma, C, D \rangle$. K is a (non-empty) poset, C a function on the constants of L , D a set-valued function on K , Σ a function on K with certain constraints. (D and Σ also satisfy constraints.)

Comments

Not included:

1. Some alphabets
2. Various definitions of substitution.
3. $Diag(\mathfrak{A})$, isomorphically embedded (120).
4. decidable (Γ), decidable (T), effectively enumerable (Γ), effectively axiomatizable (T)
5. Skolem functions, axioms, extensions, expansions (136), hulls (141)